



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/590,962	08/29/2006	Frank Arndt	4001-1227	5638
466	7590	08/12/2010	EXAMINER	
YOUNG & THOMPSON 209 Madison Street Suite 500 Alexandria, VA 22314			ROSENAU, DEREK JOHN	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
		2837		
NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
08/12/2010	ELECTRONIC			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DocketingDept@young-thompson.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/590,962	ARNDT ET AL.
	Examiner Derek J. Rosenau	Art Unit 2837

All Participants:

(1) Derek J. Rosenau. (3) _____.
 (2) Robert Madsen. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 August 2010

Time: 9:30

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Rejections based on Pelrine et al. and Nilsson

Claims discussed:

1, 4, 5, and 7

Prior art documents discussed:

Pelrine et al., Nilsson, and Kombluh et al. (US 2006/0192465)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Derek J Rosenau/
 Examiner, Art Unit 2837

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted the applicant to indicate that, based on the arguments presented in the appeal brief, claims 4 and 7 would be allowable if written in independent form, and that the case could be allowed by examiners amendment by amending claims 1 and 6 to include the subject matter of claims 4 and 7, respectively. However, applicant decided not to accept the examiners amendment at this time.